UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,394	10/17/2005	Kazuaki Tsuji	03039PCT	1632	
23165 ROBERT J JA	7590 07/27/2007		EXAMINER		
650 BRIMHAI	LL STREET SOUTH		LEE, GILBERT Y		
ST PAUL, MN 551161511			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/549,394		TSUJI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gilbert Y. Lee	3673		

		Gilbert Y. Lee		3673	
The	e MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	ith the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY F	ILED 10 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The reply this appli places th	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or ication, applicant must timely file one of the followie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nost for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	i the same day as filing a N wing replies: (1) an amendn itice of Appeal (with appeal	lotice of A ment, affic I fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other evider ompliance with 37 Ci	sce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🗌 The p	period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this P rent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date			
TWO Extensions of tin have been filed i under 37 CFR 1. set forth in (b) at may reduce any	niner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 ne may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r r than three months after the m	7 CFR 1.13 g amount o reply origin	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the	ce of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
(a) ⊠ Th (b) □ Th (c) □ Th ap (d) □ Th	posed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, ey raise new issues that would require further co ey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below are not deemed to place the application in beingeal; and/or ey present additional claims without canceling a OTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	nsideration and/or search (pw); tter form for appeal by mate corresponding number of fi	(see NOT erially red	E below);	
4. The ame 5. Applicar 6. Newly p	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) roposed or amended claim(s) would be a vable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of		•	,
7. For purp how the statu Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	oses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is prous of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		b) 🗌 will	be entered and an e	explanation of
8. The affid because	ROTHER EVIDENCE avit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu applicant failed to provide a showing of good an earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of fi d sufficient reasons why the	iling a No e affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
entered t showing	avit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und y and was not earlier prese	ler appea ented. Se	il and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
	davit or other evidence is entered. An explanation R RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims	s after er	itry is below or attach	ned.
11. ⊠ The req See Co	uest for reconsideration has been considered buntinuation Sheet.		lication in	condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ∐ Note the	e attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	,	SUPERVIS TECHN	PATRICIA ENGLE SORY PATENT EXAMI IOLOGY CENTER 360	
			7.	- 25-07	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 6 requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to the applicant's arguments of claims 13 and 14, the arguments are not persuasive because as the applicant recites, the claim requires the second edge to be "composed of straight lines" which C is labeled as. Straight sloping edge is connected to the second projecting edge through other edges of the seal. The applicant also argues that the first and second projecting edges are not projecting obliquely from the straight bottom edge, the applicant is directed to the third definition of oblique in Applicant's Attachment C "diverging from a given straight line or course". Clearly the first and second projecting edges as labeled in Examiner's Attachment A in Paper No. 20070416 shows the projecting edges "diverging from a given straight line or course".

The new IDS also requires further consideration.